## **HOUSE BILL No. 1705**

#### DIGEST OF INTRODUCED BILL

**Citations Affected:** IC 5-2-6; IC 31-30-1-4; IC 33-39-10; IC 33-40; IC 35-36-9; IC 35-50-2.

**Synopsis:** Penalties for killing law enforcement officers. Establishes the capital litigation expense fund to reimburse prosecutors for expenses incurred in prosecuting a capital case and requires the criminal justice institute to administer the fund. Provides that capital litigation expenses for employing an investigator or additional deputy prosecuting attorney are only reimbursable if the prosecuting attorney does not already employ sufficient staff to prosecute the capital case and carry out the other responsibilities of the office. Makes an annual appropriation of one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) to fund the capital expense litigation fund. Requires the public defender council to reimburse 100% of a county's expenditures for indigent defense services in a capital case. Requires a prosecuting attorney who has reason to believe that a defendant committed murder against a law enforcement officer acting in the line of duty to seek the death penalty or a sentence of life without parole, and requires a defendant found to have committed this offense to receive either a sentence for murder or a sentence of life imprisonment without parole. Removes juvenile court jurisdiction over a child alleged to have committed the murder of a law enforcement officer acting in the line of duty. Makes other changes and conforming amendments.

Effective: July 1, 2007.

## Walorski, Koch, Tincher, Neese

January 26, 2007, read first time and referred to Committee on Courts and Criminal Code.



#### First Regular Session 115th General Assembly (2007)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or *this style type* reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2006 Regular Session of the General Assembly.

# C

### **HOUSE BILL No. 1705**

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning criminal law and procedure and to make an appropriation.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

1	SECTION 1. IC 5-2-6-3, AS AMENDED BY P.L.173-2006,
2	SECTION 2, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
3	JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 3. The institute is established to do the following:
4	(1) Evaluate state and local programs associated with:
5	(A) the prevention, detection, and solution of criminal
6	offenses;
7	(B) law enforcement; and
8	(C) the administration of criminal and juvenile justice.
9	(2) Improve and coordinate all aspects of law enforcement,
10	juvenile justice, and criminal justice in this state.
11	(3) Stimulate criminal and juvenile justice research.
12	(4) Develop new methods for the prevention and reduction of
13	crime.
14	(5) Prepare applications for funds under the Omnibus Act and the
15	Juvenile Justice Act.
16	(6) Administer victim and witness assistance funds.
17	(7) Administer the traffic safety functions assigned to the institute



1	under IC 9-27-2.
2	(8) Compile and analyze information and disseminate the
3	information to persons who make criminal justice decisions in this
4	state.
5	(9) Serve as the criminal justice statistical analysis center for this
6	state.
7	(10) Identify grants and other funds that can be used by the
8	department of correction to carry out its responsibilities
9	concerning sex offender registration under IC 11-8-8.
0	(11) Administer the application and approval process for
1	designating an area of a consolidated or second class city as a
2	public safety improvement area under IC 36-8-19.5.
.3	(12) Develop and maintain a meth watch program to inform
4	retailers and the public about illicit methamphetamine production,
5	distribution, and use in Indiana.
.6	(13) Administer the capital litigation expense fund.
.7	SECTION 2. IC 5-2-6-19 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE
. 8	AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY
.9	1, 2007]: Sec. 19. (a) In consultation with the prosecuting attorneys
20	council, the institute shall adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 establishing
21	standards and eligibility requirements for the reimbursement of
22	the office of a prosecuting attorney from the capital litigation
23	expense fund established by IC 33-39-10-2.
24	(b) Rules adopted under this section must authorize the
2.5	reimbursement of expenses incurred in connection with the
26	employment of an investigator or the appointment of an additional
27	deputy prosecuting attorney (including a senior prosecuting
28	attorney) only if the office of the prosecuting attorney seeking
29	reimbursement does not, at the time of employment of the
50	investigator or appointment of the additional deputy prosecuting
31	attorney, employ sufficient staff to effectively prosecute the capital
32	case and carry out the other responsibilities of the prosecuting
33	attorney. SECTION 3. IC 31-30-1-4, AS AMENDED BY P.L.151-2006,
54 55	·
66	SECTION 12, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
57	JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 4. (a) The juvenile court does not have jurisdiction over an individual for an alleged violation of:
88	(1) IC 35-42-1-1 (murder);
9	(1) IC 35-42-1-1 (murder); (2) IC 35-42-3-2 (kidnapping);
10	(2) IC 35-42-5-2 (kidnapping), (3) IC 35-42-4-1 (rape);
1	(3) IC 35-42-4-1 (tape), (4) IC 35-42-4-2 (criminal deviate conduct);
2	(4) IC 35-42-4-2 (criminal deviate conduct), (5) IC 35-42-5-1 (robbery) if:
r <i>스</i>	(3) 1C 33-72-3-1 (1000C1y) 11.



1	(A) the robbery was committed while armed with a deadly
2	weapon; or
3	(B) the robbery results in bodily injury or serious bodily
4	injury;
5	(6) IC 35-42-5-2 (carjacking);
6	(7) IC 35-45-9-3 (criminal gang activity);
7	(8) IC 35-45-9-4 (criminal gang intimidation);
8	(9) IC 35-47-2-1 (carrying a handgun without a license);
9	(10) IC 35-47-10 (children and firearms);
10	(11) IC 35-47-5-4.1 (dealing in a sawed-off shotgun); or
11	(12) any offense that may be joined under IC 35-34-1-9(a)(2) with
12	any crime listed in subdivisions (1) through (11);
13	if the individual was at least sixteen (16) years of age at the time of the
14	alleged violation.
15	(b) The juvenile court does not have jurisdiction for an alleged
16	violation of manufacturing or dealing in cocaine or a narcotic drug
17	(IC 35-48-4-1), dealing in methamphetamine (IC 35-48-4-1.1), dealing
18	in a schedule I, II, or III controlled substance (IC 35-48-4-2), or dealing
19	in a schedule IV controlled substance (IC 35-48-4-3), if:
20	(1) the individual has a prior unrelated conviction under
21	IC 35-48-4-1, IC 35-48-4-1.1, IC 35-48-4-2, or IC 35-48-4-3; or
22	(2) the individual has a prior unrelated juvenile adjudication that,
23	if committed by an adult, would be a crime under IC 35-48-4-1,
24	IC 35-48-4-1.1, IC 35-48-4-2, or IC 35-48-4-3;
25	and the individual was at least sixteen (16) years of age at the time of
26	the alleged violation.
27	(c) The juvenile court does not have jurisdiction over an
28	individual who is alleged to have committed murder (IC 35-42-1-1)
29	if the individual is alleged to have committed the murder of a law
30	enforcement officer under the circumstances described in
31	IC 35-50-2-9.5(a).
32	(c) (d) Once an individual described in subsection (a), or (b), or (c)
33	has been charged with any crime listed in subsection (a), or (b), or (c),
34	the court having adult criminal jurisdiction shall retain jurisdiction over
35	the case even if the individual pleads guilty to or is convicted of a
36	lesser included offense. A plea of guilty to or a conviction of a lesser
37	included offense does not vest jurisdiction in the juvenile court.
38	SECTION 4. IC 33-39-10 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE
39	AS A <b>NEW</b> CHAPTER TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
40	JULY 1, 2007]:
41	Chapter 10. Capital Litigation Expense Fund
42	Sec. 1. As used in this chapter, "fund" refers to the capital



1	litigation expense fund established by section 2 of this chapter.	
2	Sec. 2. (a) The capital litigation expense fund is established to	
3	receive appropriations, grants, donations, and other funds to	
4	reimburse the office of a prosecuting attorney for reasonable	
5	expenses incurred in prosecuting a capital case. The fund shall be	
6	administered by the criminal justice institute.	
7	(b) The expenses of administering the fund shall be paid from	
8	money in the fund. Any interest earned on money in the fund shall	
9	be credited to the fund.	
10	(c) The treasurer of state shall invest the money in the fund not	4
11	currently needed to meet the obligations of the fund in the same	
12	manner as other public money may be invested.	
13	(d) Money in the fund at the end of a state fiscal year does not	
14	revert to the state general fund. However, if the amount of money	
15	in the fund at the end of a particular state fiscal year exceeds three	
16	hundred thousand dollars (\$300,000), the treasurer of state shall	
17	transfer the excess from the fund into the state general fund.	
18	(e) One hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) is annually	
19	appropriated from the state general fund to the fund.	
20	Sec. 3. A prosecuting attorney may submit on a quarterly basis	
21	a certified request to the criminal justice institute for	
22	reimbursement from the fund for an amount equal to one hundred	
23	percent (100%) of the prosecuting attorney's office's reasonable	
24	expenditures for prosecuting a capital case under IC 35-50-2-9 or	
25	IC 35-50-2-9.5.	
26	Sec. 4. (a) Except as provided under section 5 or 6 of this	
27	chapter, upon certification by a prosecuting attorney and a	
28	determination by the criminal justice institute that the request	
29	complies with the standards and eligibility requirements set by the	
30	criminal justice institute, the criminal justice institute shall	
31	quarterly authorize an amount of reimbursement due to the office	
32	of the prosecuting attorney that is equal to one hundred percent	
33	(100%) of the prosecuting attorney's office's certified expenditures	
34	for prosecuting a capital case under IC 35-50-2-9 or IC 35-50-2-9.5.	
35	The criminal justice institute shall certify to the auditor of state the	
36	amount of reimbursement due to the office of a prosecuting	
37	attorney under this chapter.	
38	(b) Upon receiving certification from the criminal justice	
39	institute, the auditor of state shall issue a warrant to the treasurer	
40	of state for disbursement to the office of the prosecuting attorney	

Sec. 5. (a) As used in this section, "deputy prosecuting attorney"



41

42

of the amount certified.

1	includes a senior prosecuting attorney.	
2	(b) The office of a prosecuting attorney is entitled to	
3	reimbursement for expenses incurred in connection with	
4	employing an investigator or appointing an additional deputy	
5	prosecuting attorney only if:	
6	(1) the prosecuting attorney's ability to prosecute:	
7	(A) the capital case; or	
8	(B) other criminal cases;	
9	would be severely hampered without the employment of an	
10	investigator or the appointment of an additional deputy	
11	prosecuting attorney; and	
12	(2) the office of the prosecuting attorney is eligible for	
13	reimbursement for expenses incurred in connection with	
14	employing an investigator or appointing an additional deputy	
15	prosecuting attorney under rules adopted by the criminal	
16	justice institute.	
17	(c) Compensation paid to a deputy prosecuting attorney	
18	reimbursed under this section may not exceed:	
19	(1) a per diem equal to the regular salary of a full-time	
20	prosecuting attorney of the appointing circuit; and	
21	(2) travel expenses and reasonable accommodation expenses	
22	actually incurred.	
23	(d) The total of:	
24	(1) the compensation paid to a senior prosecuting attorney	
25	reimbursed as an additional deputy prosecuting attorney	
26	under this chapter; and	
27	(2) retirement benefits that the senior prosecuting attorney is	•
28	receiving or entitled to receive;	
29	may not exceed the minimum compensation to which a full-time	
30	prosecuting attorney is entitled under IC 33-39-6-5.	
31	Sec. 6. If the balance in the fund is not adequate to fully	
32	reimburse all certified claims, the criminal justice institute shall	
33	prorate reimbursement.	
34	SECTION 5. IC 33-40-5-4 IS AMENDED TO READ AS	
35	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 4. The commission	
36	shall do the following:	
37	(1) Make recommendations to the supreme court concerning	
38	standards for indigent defense services provided for defendants	
39	against whom the state has sought the death sentence under	
40	IC 35-50-2-9 and IC 35-50-2-9.5, including the following:	
41	(A) Determining indigency and eligibility for legal	
42	representation.	



1	(B) Selection and qualifications of attorneys to represent	
2	indigent defendants at public expense.	
3	(C) Determining conflicts of interest.	
4	(D) Investigative, clerical, and other support services	
5	necessary to provide adequate legal representation.	
6	(2) Adopt guidelines and standards for indigent defense services	
7	under which the counties will be eligible for reimbursement under	
8	IC 33-40-6, including the following:	
9	(A) Determining indigency and the eligibility for legal	
10	representation.	
11	(B) The issuance and enforcement of orders requiring the	
12	defendant to pay for the costs of court appointed legal	
13	representation under IC 33-40-3.	
14	(C) The use and expenditure of funds in the county	
15	supplemental public defender services fund established under	
16	IC 33-40-3-1.	
17	(D) Qualifications of attorneys to represent indigent	
18	defendants at public expense.	
19	(E) Compensation rates for salaried, contractual, and assigned	
20	counsel.	
21	(F) Minimum and maximum caseloads of public defender	
22	offices and contract attorneys.	
23	(3) Make recommendations concerning the delivery of indigent	
24	defense services in Indiana.	
25	(4) Make an annual report to the governor, the general assembly,	
26	and the supreme court on the operation of the public defense fund.	
27	The report to the general assembly under subdivision (4) must be in an	
28	electronic format under IC 5-14-6.	
29	SECTION 6. IC 33-40-6-4 IS AMENDED TO READ AS	
30	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 4. (a) A county auditor	
31	may submit on a quarterly basis a certified request to the public	
32	defender commission for reimbursement from the public defense fund	
33	for an amount equal to fifty percent (50%) one hundred percent	
34	(100%) of the county's expenditures for indigent defense services	
35	provided to a defendant against whom the death sentence is sought	
36	under IC 35-50-2-9 or IC 35-50-2-9.5.	
37	(b) A county auditor may submit on a quarterly basis a certified	
38	request to the public defender commission for reimbursement from the	
39	public defense fund for an amount equal to forty percent (40%) of the	
40	county's expenditures for indigent defense services provided in all	
41	noncapital cases except misdemeanors.	
42	(c) A request under this section from a county described in	



IC 33-40-7-1(3) may be limited to expenditures for indigent defense services provided by a particular division of a court.

SECTION 7. IC 33-40-6-5 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 5. (a) Except as provided under section 6 of this chapter, upon certification by a county auditor and a determination by the public defender commission that the request is in compliance with the guidelines and standards set by the commission, the commission shall quarterly authorize an amount of reimbursement due the county:

- (1) that is equal to fifty percent (50%) one hundred percent (100%) of the county's certified expenditures for indigent defense services provided for a defendant against whom the death sentence is sought under IC 35-50-2-9 or IC 35-50-2-9.5; and
- (2) that is equal to forty percent (40%) of the county's certified expenditures for defense services provided in noncapital cases except misdemeanors.

The division of state court administration shall then certify to the auditor of state the amount of reimbursement owed to a county under this chapter.

(b) Upon receiving certification from the division of state court administration, the auditor of state shall issue a warrant to the treasurer of state for disbursement to the county of the amount certified.

SECTION 8. IC 35-36-9-1 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 1. This chapter applies when a defendant is charged with a murder for which the state seeks a death sentence under IC 35-50-2-9 or IC 35-50-2-9.5.

SECTION 9. IC 35-36-9-6 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 6. If the court determines that the defendant is a mentally retarded individual under section 5 of this chapter, the part of the state's charging instrument filed under IC 35-50-2-9(a) IC 35-50-2-9 or IC 35-50-2-9.5 that seeks a death sentence against the defendant shall be dismissed.

SECTION 10. IC 35-50-2-9, AS AMENDED BY P.L.1-2006, SECTION 550, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 9. (a) Except as provided in subsection (m), this section does not apply to a defendant described in section 9.5 of this chapter. The state may seek either a death sentence or a sentence of life imprisonment without parole for murder by alleging, on a page separate from the rest of the charging instrument, the existence of at least one (1) of the aggravating circumstances listed in subsection (b). In the sentencing hearing after a person is convicted of murder, the state must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the

C









1	existence of at least one (1) of the aggravating circumstances alleged.	
2	However, the state may not proceed against a defendant under this	
3	section if a court determines at a pretrial hearing under IC 35-36-9 that	
4	the defendant is a mentally retarded individual.	
5	(b) The aggravating circumstances are as follows:	
6	(1) The defendant committed the murder by intentionally killing	
7	the victim while committing or attempting to commit any of the	
8	following:	
9	(A) Arson (IC 35-43-1-1).	
10	(B) Burglary (IC 35-43-2-1).	
11	(C) Child molesting (IC 35-42-4-3).	
12	(D) Criminal deviate conduct (IC 35-42-4-2).	
13	(E) Kidnapping (IC 35-42-3-2).	
14	(F) Rape (IC 35-42-4-1).	
15	(G) Robbery (IC 35-42-5-1).	
16	(H) Carjacking (IC 35-42-5-2).	
17	(I) Criminal gang activity (IC 35-45-9-3).	
18	(J) Dealing in cocaine or a narcotic drug (IC 35-48-4-1).	
19	(2) The defendant committed the murder by the unlawful	
20	detonation of an explosive with intent to injure a person or	
21	damage property.	
22	(3) The defendant committed the murder by lying in wait.	
23	(4) The defendant who committed the murder was hired to kill.	
24	(5) The defendant committed the murder by hiring another person	_
25	to kill.	
26	(6) The victim of the murder was a corrections employee,	
27	probation officer, parole officer, community corrections worker,	
28	home detention officer, fireman, or judge or law enforcement	y
29	officer and either:	
30	(A) the victim was acting in the course of duty; or	
31	(B) the murder was motivated by an act the victim performed	
32	while acting in the course of duty.	
33	(7) The defendant has been convicted of another murder.	
34	(8) The defendant has committed another murder, at any time,	
35	regardless of whether the defendant has been convicted of that	
36	other murder.	
37	(9) The defendant was:	
38	(A) under the custody of the department of correction;	
39	(B) under the custody of a county sheriff;	
40	(C) on probation after receiving a sentence for the commission	
41	of a felony; or	
12	(D) on parole;	



1	at the time the murder was committed.
2	(10) The defendant dismembered the victim.
3	(11) The defendant burned, mutilated, or tortured the victim while
4	the victim was alive.
5	(12) The victim of the murder was less than twelve (12) years of
6	age.
7	(13) The victim was a victim of any of the following offenses for
8	which the defendant was convicted:
9	(A) Battery as a Class D felony or as a Class C felony under
10	IC 35-42-2-1.
11	(B) Kidnapping (IC 35-42-3-2).
12	(C) Criminal confinement (IC 35-42-3-3).
13	(D) A sex crime under IC 35-42-4.
14	(14) The victim of the murder was listed by the state or known by
15	the defendant to be a witness against the defendant and the
16	defendant committed the murder with the intent to prevent the
17	person from testifying.
18	(15) The defendant committed the murder by intentionally
19	discharging a firearm (as defined in IC 35-47-1-5):
20	(A) into an inhabited dwelling; or
21	(B) from a vehicle.
22	(16) The victim of the murder was pregnant and the murder
23	resulted in the intentional killing of a fetus that has attained
24	viability (as defined in IC 16-18-2-365).
25	(c) The mitigating circumstances that may be considered under this
26	section are as follows:
27	(1) The defendant has no significant history of prior criminal
28	conduct.
29	(2) The defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or
30	emotional disturbance when the murder was committed.
31	(3) The victim was a participant in or consented to the defendant's
32	conduct.
33	(4) The defendant was an accomplice in a murder committed by
34	another person, and the defendant's participation was relatively
35	minor.
36	(5) The defendant acted under the substantial domination of
37	another person.
38	(6) The defendant's capacity to appreciate the criminality of the
39	defendant's conduct or to conform that conduct to the
40	requirements of law was substantially impaired as a result of
41	mental disease or defect or of intoxication.
12	(7) The defendant was less than eighteen (18) years of age at the



time the murder was committed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

2.8

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

- (8) Any other circumstances appropriate for consideration.
- (d) If the defendant was convicted of murder in a jury trial, the jury shall reconvene for the sentencing hearing. If the trial was to the court, or the judgment was entered on a guilty plea, the court alone shall conduct the sentencing hearing. The jury or the court may consider all the evidence introduced at the trial stage of the proceedings, together with new evidence presented at the sentencing hearing. The court shall instruct the jury concerning the statutory penalties for murder and any other offenses for which the defendant was convicted, the potential for consecutive or concurrent sentencing, and the availability of good time credit and clemency. The court shall instruct the jury that, in order for the jury to recommend to the court that the death penalty or life imprisonment without parole should be imposed, the jury must find at least one (1) aggravating circumstance beyond a reasonable doubt as described in subsection (1) and shall provide a special verdict form for each aggravating circumstance alleged. The defendant may present any additional evidence relevant to:
  - (1) the aggravating circumstances alleged; or
  - (2) any of the mitigating circumstances listed in subsection (c).
- (e) For a defendant sentenced after June 30, 2002, except as provided by IC 35-36-9, if the hearing is by jury, the jury shall recommend to the court whether the death penalty or life imprisonment without parole, or neither, should be imposed. The jury may recommend:
  - (1) the death penalty; or
- (2) life imprisonment without parole; only if it makes the findings described in subsection (1). If the jury reaches a sentencing recommendation, the court shall sentence the defendant accordingly. After a court pronounces sentence, a representative of the victim's family and friends may present a statement regarding the impact of the crime on family and friends. The impact statement may be submitted in writing or given orally by the representative. The statement shall be given in the presence of the defendant.
- (f) If a jury is unable to agree on a sentence recommendation after reasonable deliberations, the court shall discharge the jury and proceed as if the hearing had been to the court alone.
- (g) If the hearing is to the court alone, except as provided by IC 35-36-9, the court shall:
  - (1) sentence the defendant to death; or
  - (2) impose a term of life imprisonment without parole;











only if it makes the findings described in subsection (1).

2.8

- (h) If a court sentences a defendant to death, the court shall order the defendant's execution to be carried out not later than one (1) year and one (1) day after the date the defendant was convicted. The supreme court has exclusive jurisdiction to stay the execution of a death sentence. If the supreme court stays the execution of a death sentence, the supreme court shall order a new date for the defendant's execution.
- (i) If a person sentenced to death by a court files a petition for postconviction relief, the court, not later than ninety (90) days after the date the petition is filed, shall set a date to hold a hearing to consider the petition. If a court does not, within the ninety (90) day period, set the date to hold the hearing to consider the petition, the court's failure to set the hearing date is not a basis for additional postconviction relief. The attorney general shall answer the petition for postconviction relief on behalf of the state. At the request of the attorney general, a prosecuting attorney shall assist the attorney general. The court shall enter written findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning the petition not later than ninety (90) days after the date the hearing concludes. However, if the court determines that the petition is without merit, the court may dismiss the petition within ninety (90) days without conducting a hearing under this subsection.
- (j) A death sentence is subject to automatic review by the supreme court. The review, which shall be heard under rules adopted by the supreme court, shall be given priority over all other cases. The supreme court's review must take into consideration all claims that the:
  - (1) conviction or sentence was in violation of the:
    - (A) Constitution of the State of Indiana; or
    - (B) Constitution of the United States;
  - (2) sentencing court was without jurisdiction to impose a sentence; and
  - (3) sentence:
    - (A) exceeds the maximum sentence authorized by law; or
    - (B) is otherwise erroneous.

If the supreme court cannot complete its review by the date set by the sentencing court for the defendant's execution under subsection (h), the supreme court shall stay the execution of the death sentence and set a new date to carry out the defendant's execution.

(k) A person who has been sentenced to death and who has completed state postconviction review proceedings may file a written petition with the supreme court seeking to present new evidence challenging the person's guilt or the appropriateness of the death







y

1	sentence if the person serves notice on the attorney general. The
2	supreme court shall determine, with or without a hearing, whether the
3	person has presented previously undiscovered evidence that
4	undermines confidence in the conviction or the death sentence. If
5	necessary, the supreme court may remand the case to the trial court for
6	an evidentiary hearing to consider the new evidence and its effect on
7	the person's conviction and death sentence. The supreme court may not
8	make a determination in the person's favor nor make a decision to
9	remand the case to the trial court for an evidentiary hearing without
10	first providing the attorney general with an opportunity to be heard on
11	the matter.
12	(l) Before a sentence may be imposed under this section, the jury,
13	in a proceeding under subsection (e), or the court, in a proceeding
14	under subsection (g), must find that:
15	(1) the state has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that at least
16	one (1) of the aggravating circumstances listed in subsection (b)
17	exists; and
18	(2) any mitigating circumstances that exist are outweighed by the
19	aggravating circumstance or circumstances.
20	(m) The state may proceed against a defendant who is alleged to
21	have committed murder and at least one (1) aggravating
22	circumstance described in section 9.5(a) of this chapter and in
23	subsection (b). If the state proceeds against a defendant who is
24	alleged to have committed murder and at least one (1) aggravating
25	circumstance described in section 9.5(a) of this chapter and in
26	subsection (b), the procedures described in:
27	(1) this section apply to the proceedings concerning the
28	aggravating circumstances described in subsection (b); and
29	(2) section 9.5 of this chapter apply to the proceedings
30	concerning the aggravating circumstances described in
31	subsection (b).
32	Procedures described in this section and section 9.5 of this chapter
33	shall be combined if they are not inconsistent with each other.
34	SECTION 11. IC 35-50-2-9.5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA
35	CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS
36	[EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 9.5. (a) If the prosecuting
37	attorney has reason to believe that the defendant committed
38	murder and the victim was a law enforcement officer:
39	(1) acting in the line of duty (including an off duty officer who
40	identified himself or herself as a law enforcement officer); or

(2) whose murder was motivated by an act the law

enforcement officer performed while acting in the course of



	duty;
--	-------

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

3334

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

the state shall seek either a death sentence or a sentence of life imprisonment without parole for murder by alleging the existence of one (1) or both of these aggravating circumstances on a page separate from the rest of the charging instrument. However, the state may not proceed against a defendant under this section if a court determines at a pretrial hearing under IC 35-36-9 that the defendant is a mentally retarded individual.

- (b) If the defendant was convicted of murder in a jury trial, the jury shall reconvene for the sentencing hearing. If the trial was to the court, or the judgment was entered on a guilty plea, the court alone shall conduct the sentencing hearing. The jury or the court may consider all the evidence introduced at the trial stage of the proceedings, together with new evidence presented at the sentencing hearing. The court shall instruct the jury concerning the statutory penalties for murder and any other offenses for which the defendant was convicted, the potential for consecutive or concurrent sentencing, and the availability of good time credit and clemency. The court shall instruct the jury that, in order for the jury to recommend to the court that the death penalty or life imprisonment without parole should be imposed, the jury must find beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of at least one (1) of the aggravating circumstances described in subsection (a), and shall provide a special verdict form for these aggravating circumstances. The defendant may present any additional evidence relevant to:
  - (1) the aggravating circumstances alleged; or
  - (2) any of the mitigating circumstances listed in subsection (c).
- (c) The mitigating circumstances that may be considered under this section are as follows:
  - (1) The defendant has no significant history of prior criminal conduct.
  - (2) The defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance when the murder was committed.
  - (3) The victim was a participant in or consented to the defendant's conduct.
  - (4) The defendant was an accomplice in a murder committed by another person, and the defendant's participation was relatively minor.
  - (5) The defendant acted under the substantial domination of another person.
  - (6) The defendant's capacity to appreciate the criminality of









1	the defendant's conduct or to conform that conduct to the
2	requirements of law was substantially impaired as a result of
3	mental disease or defect or of intoxication.
4	(7) The defendant was less than eighteen (18) years of age at
5	the time the murder was committed.
6	(8) Any other circumstances appropriate for consideration.
7	(d) Except as provided by IC 35-36-9, if the hearing is by jury,
8	the jury shall recommend to the court whether the death penalty
9	or life imprisonment without parole should be imposed. The jury
10	may recommend:
11	(1) the death penalty; or
12	(2) life imprisonment without parole;
13	only if it makes the findings described in subsection (k). If the jury
14	makes the findings described in subsection (k), the jury may not
15	recommend that the defendant be sentenced to a term of years. If
16	the state seeks a sentence of life imprisonment without parole and
17	does not seek the death penalty, the jury shall recommend a
18	sentence of life imprisonment without parole if it makes the
19	findings described in subsection (k). If the jury reaches a
20	$sentencing \ recommendation, the \ court \ shall \ sentence \ the \ defendant$
21	accordingly. After a court pronounces sentence, a representative
22	of the victim's family and friends may present a statement
23	regarding the impact of the crime on family and friends. The
24	impact statement may be submitted in writing or given orally by
25	the representative. The statement shall be given in the presence of
26	the defendant.
27	(e) If a jury is unable to agree on a sentence recommendation
28	after reasonable deliberations, the court shall discharge the jury
29	and proceed as if the hearing had been to the court alone.
30	(f) If the hearing is to the court alone, except as provided by
31	IC 35-36-9, the court shall:
32	(1) sentence the defendant to death; or
33	(2) impose a term of life imprisonment without parole;
34	if it makes the findings described in subsection (k). If the court
35	makes the findings described in subsection (k), the court may not
36	sentence the defendant to a term of years. If the state seeks a
37	sentence of life imprisonment without parole and does not seek the
38	death penalty, the court shall impose a sentence of life
39	imprisonment without parole if it makes the findings described in
40	subsection (k).

(g) If a court sentences a defendant to death, the court shall

order the defendant's execution to be carried out not later than one



41

icted.
cution
n of a
or the
on for
s after
ing to 0) day

- (h) If a person sentenced to death by a court files a petition for postconviction relief, the court, not later than ninety (90) days after the date the petition is filed, shall set a date to hold a hearing to consider the petition. If a court does not, within the ninety (90) day period, set the date to hold the hearing to consider the petition, the court's failure to set the hearing date is not a basis for additional postconviction relief. The attorney general shall answer the petition for postconviction relief on behalf of the state. At the request of the attorney general, a prosecuting attorney shall assist the attorney general. The court shall enter written findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning the petition not later than ninety (90) days after the date the hearing concludes. However, if the court determines that the petition is without merit, the court may dismiss the petition within ninety (90) days without conducting a hearing under this subsection.
- (i) A death sentence is subject to automatic review by the supreme court. The review, which shall be heard under rules adopted by the supreme court, shall be given priority over all other cases. The supreme court's review must take into consideration all claims that the:
  - (1) conviction or sentence was in violation of the:
    - (A) Constitution of the State of Indiana; or
    - (B) Constitution of the United States;
  - (2) sentencing court was without jurisdiction to impose a sentence; and
  - (3) sentence:
    - (A) exceeds the maximum sentence authorized by law; or
    - (B) is otherwise erroneous.

If the supreme court cannot complete its review by the date set by the sentencing court for the defendant's execution under subsection (g), the supreme court shall stay the execution of the death sentence and set a new date to carry out the defendant's execution.

(j) A person who has been sentenced to death and who has completed state postconviction review proceedings may file a written petition with the supreme court seeking to present new evidence challenging the person's guilt or the appropriateness of the death sentence if the person serves notice on the attorney





p

У

general. The supreme court shall determine, with or without a
hearing, whether the person has presented previously undiscovered
evidence that undermines confidence in the conviction or the death
sentence. If necessary, the supreme court may remand the case to
the trial court for an evidentiary hearing to consider the new
evidence and its effect on the person's conviction and death
sentence. The supreme court may not make a determination in the
person's favor nor make a decision to remand the case to the trial
court for an evidentiary hearing without first providing the
attorney general with an opportunity to be heard on the matter.
(k) Before a sentence may be imposed under this section, the
jury, in a proceeding under subsection (d), or the court, in a
proceeding under subsection (f), must find that the state has
proved beyond a reasonable doubt that at least one (1) of the
aggravating circumstances listed in subsection (a) exists.
(l) The state may proceed against a defendant who is alleged to
have committed murder and at least one (1) aggravating
circumstance described in subsection (a) and in section 9(b) of this
chapter. If the state proceeds against a defendant who is alleged to
have committed murder and at least one (1) aggravating
circumstance described in subsection (a) and in section 9(b) of this
chapter, the procedures described in:
(1) this section apply to the proceedings concerning the
aggravating circumstances described in subsection (a); and
(2) section 9 of this chapter apply to the proceedings
concerning the aggravating circumstances described in
section 9(b) of this chapter.
Procedures described in this section and section 9 of this chapter
shall be combined if they are not inconsistent with each other.
SECTION 12. [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007] (a) IC 33-40-6-5, as
amended by this act, applies only to indigent defense services
provided after June 30, 2007.
(b) IC 33-39-10, as added by this act, applies only to expenses of
prosecuting a capital case that occur after June 30, 2007.

(c) IC 35-50-2-9, as amended by this act, and IC 35-50-2-9.5, as added by this act, apply only to crimes committed after June 30,



2007.